

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/659,259		09/11/2003	Mototsugu Ono	1560-0398P 3537		
2292	7590	09/12/2006		EXAMINER		
	-	KOLASCH & BIR	CONLEY, SEAN EVERETT			
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHU	-	'A 22040-0747	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				1744		
				DATE MAILED: 09/12/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/659,259	ONO, MOTOTSUGU
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Sean E. Conley	1744
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 A	uaust 2006.	
, <u> </u>	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under E	,	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 9/11/2003 is/are: a) ⊠		the Evaminer
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	• • •	, ,
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		· (DTO 442)
1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summan Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Pate

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, see pages 4-7, filed August 24, 2006, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-4 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Dion-Biro (U.S. Patent No. 2,808,080), Glaros (U.S. Patent No. 3,469,788), Heimburger (U.S. Patent No. 2,310,633), and Fisher (U.S. Patent No. 6,003,787).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

2. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dion-Biro (U.S. Patent No. 2,808,080) in view of Glaros (U.S. Patent No. 3,469,788).

Regarding claim 1, Dion-Biro discloses an apparatus capable of spraying a sterilizing and disinfecting chemical including alcohol into a target space, comprising: a nozzle; a chemical container (reservoir (1)) containing the chemical to be sprayed and attached to the nozzle; a gas cylinder (11) filled with a compressed carbon dioxide gas as a carrier gas; a pressure reducing valve (13) attached near an outlet of said gas cylinder (11); and a gas hose (conduit (14)) directly connected to the pressure reducing

Art Unit: 1744

valve and a hose (3) attached to the nozzle, whereby the chemical is sprayed into the target space by a function of the carrier gas injected from the end nozzle. Dion-Biro further discloses that the above device avoids freezing of the carbon dioxide gas due to decompression in the pressure reducing valve during spraying of the product (see figure 1; col. 1, lines 15-45; col. 2, lines 14-72; col. 3, lines 5-44).

However, Dion-Biro is silent with regards to the type of nozzle used to spray the product, therefore, it would have been necessary and thus obvious to look to the prior art for conventional nozzles. Glaros provides this conventional teaching showing that it is known in the art to use a spray gun type nozzle to spray a fluid that includes a decompressed carrier gas (see figure 8; col. 1, line 55 to col. 2, line 9; col. 6, lines 36-65). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a spray gun comprising a nozzle as taught by Glaros motivated by the expectation of successfully practicing the invention of Dion-Biro.

Regarding claim 2, Dion-Biro discloses that the gas cylinder (11), pressure reducing valve (13) and gas hose (conduit (14) and hose (3)) are mounted on a common truck (carriage (C)) shared by the nozzle and chemical container (1) (see figure 1; col. 3, lines 6-10).

Regarding claims 3 and 4, Glaros discloses that the spray gun (36) is detachable from the chemical container (cylinder (32)) so that the spray gun can be cleaned (see col. 6, lines 49-55). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the

Art Unit: 1744

art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Dion-Biro and make the nozzle removable as taught by Glaros in order to clean the nozzle.

3. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Heimburger (U.S. Patent No. 2,310,633) in view of Dion-Biro (U.S. Patent No. 2,808,080).

Regarding claim 1. Heimburger discloses a spray gun (1) having an end nozzle (25); a chemical container (receptacle (34)) containing the chemical, the container being attached to the spray gun (1); a compressed gas source (not shown) filled with a compressed gas; a gas hose (hose (10)) directly connected to the spray gun (1) and the compressed gas source (see figure 1; col. 2, lines 25-45; col. 2, line 50 to col. 3, line Heimburger is silent with regards to the type of compressed gas or source used in the invention, therefore, it would have been necessary and thus obvious to look to the prior art for conventional types of compressed gases and sources used to provide a compressed gas carrier in a liquid spraying device. Dion-Biro provides this conventional teaching showing that it is known in the art to use compressed gas cylinders (5 and 11) containing compressed carbon dioxide gas and being connected to a pressure reducing valve (14) at the outlet of cylinder (11) in order to provide a constant pressure carrier gas to a product that is to be sprayed. Furthermore, the setup of Dion-Biro avoids freezing of the carbon dioxide carrier gas (see figure 1; col. 1, lines 15-45; col. 2, lines 14-72; col. 3, lines 5-44).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a compressed gas system (compressed gas cylinders (5 and 11) containing compressed carbon dioxide gas and being connected to a pressure reducing valve (14) at the outlet of cylinder (11)) as taught by Dion-Biro motivated by the expectation of successfully practicing the invention of Heimburger.

Regarding claim 2, Heimburger discloses that the components of apparatus can be mounted on a wheeled truck to facilitate portability (see col. 4, lines 39-51). Dion-Biro also discloses that the gas cylinder (11), pressure reducing valve (13) and gas hose (conduit (14) and hose (3)) are mounted on a common truck (carriage (C)) shared by the nozzle and chemical container (1) (see figure 1; col. 3, lines 6-10).

Regarding claims 3 and 4, Heimburger discloses that the chemical container (34) is detachably attached to the spray gun (1) (see figure 1; col. 1, line 50 to col. 2, line 3; col. 4, lines 5-38).

4. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fisher (U.S. Patent No. 6,003,787) in view of Dion-Biro (U.S. Patent No. 2,808,080).

Regarding claim 1, Fisher discloses an apparatus for spraying an insecticide comprising a spray gun (10) having an end nozzle (36); a chemical container (37) containing the chemical, the container being attached to the spray gun (10); a compressed gas source filled with a compressed gas; a gas hose (hose (12)) directly connected to the spray gun (10) and the compressed gas source (see figure 1; col. 3, lines 3-66; col. 4, lines 1-13). Fisher is silent with regards to the type of compressed

Art Unit: 1744

gas or source used in the invention as an alternative to compressed air, therefore, it would have been necessary and thus obvious to look to the prior art for conventional types of compressed gases and sources used to provide a compressed gas carrier in a liquid spraying device. Dion-Biro provides this conventional teaching showing that it is known in the art to use compressed gas cylinders (5 and 11) containing compressed carbon dioxide gas and being connected to a pressure reducing valve (14) at the outlet of cylinder (11) in order to provide a constant pressure carrier gas to a product that is to be sprayed. The setup of Dion-Biro avoids freezing of the carbon dioxide carrier gas (see figure 1; col. 1, lines 15-45; col. 2, lines 14-72; col. 3, lines 5-44).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a compressed gas system (compressed gas cylinders (5 and 11) containing compressed carbon dioxide gas and being connected to a pressure reducing valve (14) at the outlet of gas cylinder (11)) as taught by Dion-Biro motivated by the expectation of successfully practicing the invention of Fisher.

Regarding claim 2, Dion-Biro also discloses that the apparatus including the gas cylinder (11), pressure reducing valve (13) and gas hoses (conduit (14), hose (3)) are mounted on a common truck (carriage (C)) shared by the nozzle and chemical container (1) in order to facilitate portability (see figure 1; col. 3, lines 6-10). Therefore, it would have been obvious to modify the invention of Fisher and mount the components of the device on a carriage as taught by Dion-Biro in order to facilitate the portability of the device.

Application/Control Number: 10/659,259 Page 7

Art Unit: 1744

Regarding claims 3 and 4, Fisher discloses that the chemical container (37) is detachably attached to the spray gun (10) (see figure 1a; col. 3, lines 30-46).

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sean E. Conley whose telephone number is 571-272-8414. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gladys Corcoran can be reached on 571-272-1214. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SEC A.E.C.

September 7, 2006

GLADYS/JP CORCORAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER